

AI POWERED DEPOSITION SUMMARY SERVICES



FROM
MAGNA 
LEGAL SERVICES



We utilize patent-pending technology to produce industry-leading deposition summaries delivered alongside the full transcript. Highlights include:

- **Page/Line Summary with 100% Accurate Page/Line Citations:** The most detailed document, comprehensive of all information from beginning to end, in chronological order. It includes a table of contents and a narrative-form conversion of the dialogue with 100% accurate page/line citations.
- **Key Admissions Extraction:** Key admissions are particularly useful for getting a quick yet thorough understanding of the key takeaways from the deposition based on facts alleged in the complaint. Includes page/line citations.
- **Deposition Memos (Thematic Summary):** Offers a succinct and focused summary of the most important themes discussed throughout, irrelevant of chronology, separating central points from less pertinent information found in the 'miscellaneous/other' section
- **Hyperlinked to the Original Transcript:** Facilitate human review with easy navigation links back and forth between the summary sections and the source dialogue.

Robert Jacobson Deposition Summary

Scarlett Lewis v. Alex E. Jones, Infowars, LLC, and Free Speech Systems, LLC

DEPOSITION ABSTRACT

Robert Jacobson, a former employee of InfoWars from 2004 to 2017, provided testimony in a deposition related to the Sandy Hook lawsuits against Alex Jones and InfoWars. Jacobson discussed his experience at InfoWars, including changes in the company's size and journalistic approach over the years. He expressed concerns about the lack of proper fact-checking and the irresponsible handling of the Sandy Hook coverage by InfoWars writers, particularly their reliance on Wolfgang Halbig's claims without adequate evidence.

Jacobson criticized the use of the term "crisis actors" in relation to Sandy Hook and the lack of evidence to support such allegations. He also described instances of what he considered "agitation" by InfoWars staff, which he believed was not true journalism. Jacobson shared his personal objections to the Sandy Hook coverage with his coworkers and felt guilty about not taking more decisive action against it.

He confirmed his technical expertise in video technology and discussed a video clip from an InfoWars episode, explaining that it did not clearly indicate a blue screen event. Jacobson also mentioned his EEOC complaint against Alex Jones and InfoWars for abusive behavior and a racist and anti-Semitic work environment.

Jacobson stated that he does not stand to benefit from the Sandy Hook parents' lawsuits against Alex Jones and that his testimony is based on his personal experiences and the truth. He expressed discomfort with the deposition process, feeling that he was being "tag-teamed" with unexpected questions.

KEY ADMISSIONS

Robert Jacobson's Hesitant Participation in Deposition

- Robert Jacobson was initially hesitant to participate in the deposition without a court order, but ultimately decided it was the right thing to do. (Robert Jacobson Depo. [25:1 - 25:9](#))

Robert Jacobson's Testimony on InfoWars

- Robert Jacobson testified that he joined InfoWars due to his interest in stories related to the occult and politics, and he defined journalism as objective reporting with minimal bias, despite an objection from the defendants' counsel. (Robert Jacobson Depo. [27:18 - 28:8](#))

Robert Jacobson's Testimony on InfoWars' Changes

- Robert Jacobson, a former employee of InfoWars, testified that he noticed a shift in the company's journalistic approach and fact-checking process, and that he had no involvement in any of InfoWars' content concerning the Sandy Hook incident. (Robert Jacobson Depo. [31:2 - 33:5](#))

Robert Jacobson's Concerns Ignored at InfoWars

- Robert Jacobson, a former employee of InfoWars, was disturbed by the company's coverage of Sandy Hook due to its lack of journalistic ethics and disregard for adequate information. (Robert Jacobson Depo. [33:6 - 33:19](#))

Scarlett Lewis v. Alex E. Jones, Infowars, LLC, and Free Speech Systems, LLC -- Robert Jacobson Deposition Summary

The deposition abstract is a brief overview of the entire deposition.

Key admissions are 1-5 pages of crucial admissions extracted using facts alleged in the complaint.

Hyperlinked table of contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
4:13 - 4:15	Robert Jacobson Introduces Himself in a Deposition Testimony
4:16 - 21:18	During a Deposition, There Was a Dispute Between Mr. Bankston and Mr. Enoch About Questioning Witness Robert Jacobson
21:19 - 65:21	Robert Jacobson Testified About His Time at Infowars, Criticizing Its Journalistic Practices, Handling of the Sandy Hook Story, and Use of Green Screen Technology
65:22 - 75:20	Robert Jacobson, Ex-infowars Employee, Testified About His Guilt Over Sandy Hook Coverage and Potential Impact on His EEOC Complaint Payout
75:21 - 85:10	Jacobson Testifies His Actions Against Jones Are Due to Harmful Influence, Not Compensation, and Criticizes Enoch's Disruptive Conduct

The page/line summary is a detailed overview of the entire transcript, organized by topic and 1/5 - 1/10 the length of the original

EXAMINATION	
Robert Jacobson Introduces Himself in a Deposition Testimony	
Topic	Summary
- Introducing Himself	Robert Jacobson introduced himself. (4:13 - 4:15)
During a Deposition, There Was a Dispute Between Mr. Bankston and Mr. Enoch About Questioning Witness Robert Jacobson	
Topic	Summary
- Dispute over Subpoena and Confidentiality Agreement	Robert Jacobson was questioned by Mark Enoch about whether he had received a subpoena, as Enoch believed Jacobson was bound by a non-disclosure and confidentiality agreement and could not testify without one. Mark Bankston disputed this, asserting that Enoch had no court orders to question any witness and threatened to suspend the deposition and seek sanctions if Enoch continued to interact with Jacobson. Bankston confirmed that he had served a Notice of Deposition on Jacobson, but Enoch maintained that Jacobson could not testify without a subpoena due to his non-disclosure and confidentiality agreement. Bankston accused Enoch of disrupting the deposition and stated that Enoch had no grounds to question Jacobson about confidentiality as he had already informed him of his obligations. Despite this, Enoch persisted in asking Jacobson about his receipt of a subpoena. (4:16 - 21:18)
Robert Jacobson Testified About His Time at Infowars, Criticizing Its Journalistic Practices, Handling of the Sandy Hook Story, and Use of Green Screen Technology	
Topic	Summary
- Identity Confirmation	Robert Jacobson confirmed his identity for the record. (21:19 - 21:25)
- Previous InfoWars Employment	Robert Jacobson confirmed his previous employment at InfoWars. (22:1 - 22:2)
- Hired by Alex Jones as independent proprietor.	Robert Jacobson stated that he was employed by InfoWars in 2004, and that he was hired by Alex Jones. He further mentioned that at the time of his hiring, he believed he was employed by Alex Jones, whom he perceived as an independent proprietor. (22:3 - 22:9)
- Knowledge of Free Speech Systems,	Robert Jacobson knows the entity, Free Speech Systems, LLC, that his former employer claims he worked for.

Scarlett Lewis v. Alex E. Jones, Infowars, LLC, and Free Speech Systems, LLC -- Robert Jacobson Deposition Summary

Accurate, precise and dynamic page/line citations hyperlinked to the original dialogue for easy reference and checking.

EXAMINATION

Robert Jacobson Introduces Himself in a Deposition Testimony

Topic	Summary
- Introducing Himself	Robert Jacobson introduced himself. (4:13 - 4:15)

During a Deposition, There Was a Dispute Between Mr. Bankston and Mr. Enoch About Questioning Witness Robert Jacobson

Topic	Summary
- Dispute over Subpoena and Confidentiality Agreement	Robert Jacobson was questioned by Mark Enoch about whether he had received a subpoena, as Enoch believed Jacobson was bound by a non-disclosure and confidentiality agreement and could not testify without one. Mark Bankston disputed this, asserting that Enoch had no court orders to question any witness and threatened to suspend the deposition and seek sanctions if Enoch continued to interact with Jacobson. Bankston confirmed that he had served a Notice of Deposition on Jacobson, but Enoch maintained that Jacobson could not testify without a subpoena due to his non-disclosure and confidentiality agreement. Bankston accused Enoch of disrupting the deposition and stated that Enoch had no grounds to question Jacobson about confidentiality as he had already informed him of his obligations. Despite this, Enoch persisted in asking Jacobson about his receipt of a subpoena. (4:16 - 21:18)

We print the source dialogue for easy reference, complete with hyperlinks facilitating swift navigation back to the summary.

Transcript

Citation	Question / Answer
4:13 - 4:15	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Jacobson. Can you introduce yourself for our record? A I am Robert Jacobson. Summary
4:16 - 21:18	Q Okay. MR. ENOCH: Mark, I'd like to ask a couple of questions and make a comment real quickly. MR. BANKSTON: I don't think you've been given any orders from the Court to do any discovery. So, no, Mr. Enoch, you're not asking this witness any questions. MR. ENOCH: Mr. Jacobson -- MR. BANKSTON: Mr. Enoch -- MR. ENOCH: -- were you served with a subpoena? MR. BANKSTON: Mr. Enoch, please point me to the order in which you've been allowed to do any discovery or take any questions of any witness. Point me to it, Mr. Enoch. MR. ENOCH: Please do not -- MR. BANKSTON: Right now, point me to it. MR. ENOCH: Please do not interrupt. MR. BANKSTON: Then you're going to -- Mr. Enoch, stop talking to the witness. MR. ENOCH: Mr. Jacobson -- MR. BANKSTON: Mr. Enoch, this deposition will be suspended; and I will seek sanctions if you speak one more time to this witness. MR. ENOCH: Mr. Jacobson, have you been served with a deposition subpoena? MR. BANKSTON: Mr. Enoch, we're going off the record. We're done. The deposition's done. MR. ENOCH: We are not going off the record. MR. BANKSTON: The deposition is suspended. MR. ENOCH: We are not going off the record. MR. BANKSTON: You have no ability to take any testimony, Mr. Enoch. None. Zero. MR. ENOCH: Mr. Bankston, I suggest instead of getting emotional about it, if you'd let me ask this question -- MR. BANKSTON: No, we're not going to allow you any questions, Mr. Enoch. MR. ENOCH: Please don't interrupt me again. MR. BANKSTON: Mr. Enoch, you have no right to ask your questions. Before you ask that question -- a single question to that witness again, direct me to what authority you think you have to -- MR. ENOCH: Did you serve a subpoena on this witness? MR. BANKSTON: I don't -- I served a Notice of Deposition on this witness.

Scarlett Lewis v. Alex E. Jones, Infowars, LLC, and Free Speech Systems, LLC -- Robert Jacobson Deposition Summary

Each summary includes a document called the Deposition Memo.

This memo organizes the summary thematically around key legal and factual issues.

Precise and dynamic page/line citations hyperlinked to the source transcript dialogue for easy reference and checking.

Robert Jacobson Deposition Memo

Scarlett Lewis vs. Alex E. Jones, Infowars, LLC, And Free Speech Systems, LLC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[Robert Jacobson's Employment At Infowars From 2004 To 2017.](#)

[Infowars' Coverage Of The Sandy Hook Incident And The Subsequent Harassment Of Victims' Families.](#)

[Jacobson's Observations Of Unethical Journalistic Practices At Infowars, Specifically Regarding Sandy Hook.](#)

[Potential Harassment And Emotional Distress Caused To Sandy Hook Victims' Families.](#)

[Employment Discrimination And Workplace Harassment As Per Jacobson's EEOC Complaint.](#)

[Emotional Distress Suffered By Sandy Hook Victims' Families Due To Infowars' Coverage.](#)

[Dispute Over The Right To Question Jacobson Between Counsels Mark Enoch And Mark D. Bankston.](#)

[Clarification Of Jacobson's Obligations Under A Confidentiality Agreement.](#)

[Jacobson's Professional Background In Video Technology And His Opinions On The Alleged Use Of A Blue Screen In An Infowars Video.](#)

[Jacobson's Lack Of Direct Involvement In Sandy Hook Coverage But His Attempts To Correct Unethical Practices.](#)

[Jacobson's Assertion That His Personal Dispute With Infowars Does Not Invalidate The Reality Of The Sandy Hook Incident Or The Experiences Of Abuse By Others.](#)

Robert Jacobson's Employment At Infowars From 2004 To 2017.

Robert Jacobson Confirmed Working at Infowars Since 2004, Hired by Alex Jones, Believed to Be an Independent Proprietor

- **Former InfoWars Employee:** Robert Jacobson confirmed that he used to work at InfoWars. [\(22:1 - 22:2\)](#)
- **InfoWars 2004 Hiring:** Robert Jacobson was hired by InfoWars in 2004 by Alex Jones, who he believed was an independent proprietor at the time. [\(22:3 - 22:9\)](#)

Robert Jacobson's Employment at Infowars Ended in Late April or Early May 2017, After Approximately 13 Years

- **InfoWars employment end:** Robert Jacobson ended his employment at InfoWars on April 30th or May 1st of 2017, after approximately 13 years of service. [\(22:15 - 22:21\)](#)

	Q What entity is that? A Free Speech Systems LLC. Summary
22:15 - 22:21	Q Okay. When did your employment end? A My employment ended on May 1st of 2017 -- or April 30th. Q So am I right that that's over a decade that you were at InfoWars? A I was there for around 13 years, approximately. Summary
22:22 - 23:5	Q As an employee, did you have a confidentiality agreement of any kind? A Not for the first six years or so. Q Okay. So does that mean around 2010 or so the idea of confidentiality came up? A Confidentiality was passed around the office but was never given to me until years after; and it was more of a -- you know, sort of an ultimatum, sort of suggested, putting my livelihood at risk. Summary
23:6 - 23:12	Q Apparently -- I wanted to show you something I wanted to mark as Exhibit 1, but I believe Mr. Enoch has already hijacked that exhibit. So I am going to mark this as Exhibit 2. MR. ENOCH: Object to the sidebar. Move to strike. (Exhibit 2 marked.)